

I understand that Sinclair Broadcasting is coercing their local stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the US presidential election. This is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation, and in my opinion should be illegal.

Sinclair's use of the public airwaves to promote the political opinions of the Corporation's owner is reprehensible. Sinclair is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.